Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/027,800	SPINELLI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kennedy Schaetzle	3762
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:
(1) Kennedy Schaetzle.	(3)	
(2) <u>Suneel Arora</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 February 2005	Time: <u>3:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1 and 30		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A	<u>-</u>	
Part II.		,
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Proposed amendment to correct for a §112, 2 nd par. erro the art of record in claim 30 agreed to. See Examiner's A	rs in claim 1 and to include subjec	•
Part III.	·	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate 	ion. The examiner will provide parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representa	ative Signature – if appropriate)